


LEVIATHAN DIVISION



A Guide to The Natural Order

Part 1

Into the Darkness

Leviathan: The mythological Dragon used to represent the enemies of Israel.

Literally meaning, “Wreath of Serpent”, symbolized by an ouroboros; the all-consuming serpent with parallels to the Norse Midgard Serpent.

“I speak for one in a thousand, the rest are slaves and swine.” - Ragnar Redbeard

Intro

You’ve been deprived of your right to having the best genetics possible. We had the technology for it in the 40's and Germany was working towards the next stage of human evolution. Think about any genetic flaws you have that could have been hammered out by having a system that values genetic care. Why is it that the *powers that be* decided to use the Nazi’s science to create NASA, but then ban and malign the other Nazi sciences? Why couldn’t the United States implement a program of racially removed eugenics, simply to create the best human genetics possible? Wouldn’t the US want

to push the bounds of human evolution and see what a better, stronger, faster breed of human being can do?

*

If the Liberal Conspiracy of A White Supremacist Government was Real

Since our modern, government funded “educational” institutions, and the media alike preach the false narrative that all racial science is bunk, many Americans are so ignorant on the subject of race that they believe that the actions of the jews are the actions of Whites. Many people are so thoroughly confused that they can’t wrap their head around the fact that there is a jewish religion and there is a jewish race and that the jewish religion was meant to be a racially exclusive religion. Being the imposter species that they are, jews look similar, but not the same to White people. This is much like the viceroy butterfly that poses as a monarch butterfly as a means of survival. This conflation of jewish deeds with those done by White gentiles has led many to believe in the liberal conspiracy of a White supremacist government. The masses have concluded that African-American slavery, freeing those slaves, starting and then ending segregation was almost entirely the doing of White gentiles. - This, in the lemming’s mind, means that White people control all the institutions of power. It’s a ridiculous notion, especially when you look into it and see for yourself that jews hold the most wealth in our Capitalist country. And under a Capitalist system where every institution of power and influence can be bought, doesn’t that system crown the merchant king?

Here are just a few examples where the liberal conspiracy of a White supremacist government seems to fall apart if you just start asking a few questions;

...When talking about evolution, why would government funded schools teach the “out of Africa” myth? Why wouldn’t they be teaching the more credible ‘multi-regional hypothesis’, especially when there’s more evidence for MRH? Because the “out of Africa” myth serves most atheists liberal dogma, because to admit multi-regional hypothesis is true would be to undermine the liberal myth of one human race.

...Why wouldn’t schools be teaching that the oldest DNA ever recovered was found in Greenland? You’d think a White supremacist government would want to promote this fact in order to subtly reinforce H.P. Blavatsky’s theory about a hyperborean root race inhabiting Greenland [not that I’m claiming *anything* Blavatsky said was correct, just that her theories influenced many members of the Third Reich].

...Why would integration have been pushed on the masses at literal gun point? And would it be crime to have racially exclusive private schools? I thought we lived under “free market” Capitalism.

...Why would schools still preach the lie that Whites sold the Indians blankets infested with smallpox and the lie that Squanto taught the ignorant Whites how to grow crops, as if they had never grown food before they spoke to the ‘sage-minority?’ Why would schools still be calling them “native Americans”? Why wouldn’t schools be presenting skeletal remains like Kennewick man that challenge

the mainstream idea of what most people think of when they hear the term “native”? Better yet, why would a White supremacist government let the so-called “natives” legally dispose of the Kennewick man remains and thus allow them to halt scientific investigation that might prove that a certain tribe of Whites are the real native Americans?

...Why would it be legal for Mexicans to rent exclusively to other Mexicans under the guise of renting to only to those who speak Spanish, because the landlords speak Spanish. Thus, creating a sort of Mexican “gentrification” of entire parts of any given town. Why wouldn’t a White supremacist government claim that what the Mexican landlords are doing is discrimination (which it is) and force them to be “more diverse”? And why do we all know that if a German landlord required that all of his tenants spoke German the police would get involved and the German landlord would be charged with a crime?

...Why would charges like “minority intimidation” even exist? The charge in and of itself uses marxian language that favors non-whites. It implies that the non-white is the “downtrodden” [the victim of all victims]. Likewise, why would hate crimes exist? Why is the US so determined to force everyone to embrace multi-culturalism to the point of adding extra prison time if the criminal happens to reject multi-culturalism? It’s just one step removed from just jailing people for being racist alone.

...Why wouldn’t it be common knowledge taught in schools that Olinto DePetto, a White European came up with $E=mc^2$ and a jew named Albert Einstein stole Olinto’s original thought and passed it off as his own?

...Why would Matt Hale’s appeal to have Creativity be recognized as a religion be denied? Other atheistic forms of religion have been court recognized as religions. Surely, if the court system favored White people as much as the media tells everyone it does, it wouldn’t matter if Creativity is racist. The courts could easily just take a “free speech absolutist” stance if any backlash arose.

...Why, after getting charged with assault/battery, would the court system mandate that you be sentenced to re-education programs that all preach liberalism and feminism? (The so-called “anger-management” programs that have more to do with indoctrination than personally managing your anger as the purposely misleading program name implies.)

...Why wouldn’t schools teach us about one of the founding documents of American called “The Naturalization Act of 1790” that starts off by stating that free White persons are eligible to apply to become citizens. Not, blacks, not mexicans, not asians, “free White person” is the line used in the document. [Also, this act implies how prevalent slavery was, across the races]

...Why don’t we learn about all the White slaves that were taken in history (Yes, slaves, not “just” indentured servants)? The government funded schools could make black slavery sound like a joke in comparison to what White slaves throughout history went through, but we aren’t taught with the piety of a staunch catholic priest the horrors of White slavery, the way we’re taught about black slavery in American schools.

...Why wouldn’t schools teach about the holodomor? Why do most schools in America dedicate weeks or months talking about the “holocaust”, but never even mention the holodomor except as maybe a footnote about brutality in the Soviet Union? Why is the holocaust, like black slavery, treated

with such moral religious piety? I've known kids who cracked a joke about the holocaust in class who were instantly suspended for it. Seems like a very counter-intuitive way for a White supremacist government to indoctrinate the masses.

...You'd think there would be some acknowledgement of what's happening to White farmers in South Africa. You'd think that the government would step in and help with foreign aid and boots on the ground to help protect the Whites that the South African government has essentially declared open season on. And why do we all know that the media and the government would be all over this, trying to stop this situation if the races were reversed?

...Why wouldn't the government create a situation where most of the prison population was White and targeted black inmates? Wouldn't a White supremacist government want to make prisons a comfortable place for White people? Because if anyone ever tried to pass a law that infringed upon White interests, White people would feel more comfortable rebelling and breaking the law knowing that the consequences of their actions would essentially be less severe than if they were black. Everyone talks about how our capitalist government works with the for-profit prisons. If the government was really White Supremacist, they could ensure that every prison was filled with more Whites than blacks and put the excess blacks into racially separate black-only prisons where only the "well behaved" blacks could get sent.

...Why would the FBI put out this widely circulated propaganda that they see a trend in "Neo-Nazis" where the Nazi will bounce back and forth between extremist ideologies. Why do they slant these facts to make the reader feel like, "Those people are just crazy extremists, looking for an ideology as extreme and crazy as they are." The reality is that when you've got such an iconoclastic position as National Socialism, you're constantly bombarded with a flood of people telling you that you're wrong daily, sometimes it can be hard to swim against this stream and you get swept into what's all around you, into an 'ideological undertow'. The reason these "Neo-Nazis" seek out other so-called extremist ideologies is simply because they've seen through the veil of the left-right dichotomy. So, even in their moment of doubt, they're looking for something that still stifles piggy-politics. Why wouldn't the FBI explain it like that if these government jackboots really favored Whites?

...Why would the U.S. have fought *against* the Nazis? Why wouldn't the U.S. have stepped in at the very on-set of war to *help* the Nazis? (Before Japan had no choice but to finally strike back and attack Pearl Harbor).

If the U.S. was as racist as the media and government funded schools claim it was, why weren't there riots in the street when America declared war against the Axis? Why did most White Americans hate the German-American Bund? Why don't we hear droves of stories about soldiers who went AWOL, less because of their conditions, and more because the American soldier's morality aligned more with the Nazis?

Lastly, and what should be the most obvious objection. Why would the U.S. not be implementing any of the ideas of the Third Reich? Even if this "White supremacist" government wanted to be clandestine about it, they could just "soften" the racist aspects. There are many ideas that the Third Reich had that were objectively good. Here some examples of some ideas that any real "White supremacist" government would implement:

Breeding laws, testing centers and sterilization. So much planning goes into the building of a house, but under our current system we just let people willy-nilly craft a family. You need insurance to drive a car, but there's no protection against destroying good genetics. But of course, this very notion goes against the golem/christian culture of the U.S.

Banning kosher slaughter

There's just no practical reason to continue this "sacred tradition" of killing animals in a way that makes them suffer more while they're already dying. Society has moved past the days of burnt offerings and it's time we move past this too.

Legalized Drugs & Legal Euthanasia

Something our society shuns is giving people who are dying and in chronic pain some relief. "Heaven forbid" these dying people be relieved of their pain and feel a little buzzed at the same time. The Third Reich wasn't nearly as stringent on drugs as the U.S. currently is. The modern propagandists act like Nazi Germany was a police state, but at least in N.S. Germany opium, morphine, coke, heroin and meth were all legal, meanwhile drugs like that aren't even decriminalized in modern America. The only drug Hitler seemed to be against was the drug that feminizes men - alcohol (granted it was still legal though).

Doctors in the Us claim to follow an oath of "do no harm" but feel that the best way to accomplish this is to let these people suffer with little to no pain relief. Letting them die in agonizing horror, because "god forbid" they get high before they die. Apparently, it's "doing harm" to give a patient the drugs that could send them to bed peacefully forever. Nevermind that the patient is dying regardless, no matter how much they scream, cry, and plead, suffering in the worst imaginable pain, shouting that they just want to fucking die, the modern US doctors are "doing no harm", by depriving them of medication.

Racial Health

Not even in the eugenics sense, but just to acknowledge certain things that affect races differently. How Whites are more likely to develop skin cancer if they're out in the sun too long, how blacks can prevent getting ashy skin, what foods are better for certain races to eat, what biological differences there are between races to develop more personalized workout programs. We already have medical knowledge of how different diseases affect different races; we could optimize ways of living for each race to prevent each race from getting diseases that they're more susceptible to.

Legalized brothels. In National Socialist Germany the brothels were tucked away, hidden from the eyes of the christian masses. There's no logical reason prostitution shouldn't be legal. The only reason it's still illegal is because of how christian the nation is. Coupled with a selective breeding program where the best genetic material could be replicated it would help create a society of people with much better genetics than we have now.

Granted, that's not how the Nazis used brothels. Lebensborn had no connection with the Nazi brothels. The Nazis basically took prostitutes off the streets and put them to work in the camps

where German soldiers could utilize their services. In this way, the prostitutes were still able to make money legally and thus, the Nazis were able to placate the Christians masses by “getting prostitutes off the streets” while secretly letting them conduct business safely and away from the eyes of the masses. [As a side note, even Wikipedia states that no evidence of systematic rape of Jewish women exists, nor does any evidence of sex enslavement]

Legalized abortions

Unlike the Christians who believe that all life is precious even the defective, the invalid, and life forms that will live only as a vegetable to serve no purpose other than to drain hospital workers time and their parent’s money, National Socialist Germany recognized the fact that not all forms of life are equal. Wouldn’t the world objectively be a better place if we had criteria for the type of life that we allowed to exist, instead of a sort of “open borders” policy on life?

Isn’t it strange how the US government acts very similar to the politics of left-wing journalists? It’s almost as if Joseph Goebbels was completely right when he said, “Think of the press as a great keyboard on which the government can play”. It seems like if there’s anything “institutional” it’s not White supremacy it’s an institutionalized liberalism. It’s clear that everything (((they))) do, they blame on Whites, even when it makes no sense.

It’s interesting how the masses are willing to accept any number of anti-White conspiracies, yet they’ll scoff at the notion of anti-semitic theories even if the two theories mirror each other. Does it make any logical sense that the masses would naturally come to this way of thinking on their own, without propaganda herding them towards this way of thinking?

*

Careless Breeders of Today

“I am annoyed when I see the pains taken to make pineapples, bananas, and other exotic plants thrive in harsh climates, while so little care is taken for the human kind. Say what you will, man is more valuable than all the pineapples in the world. He is the plant that must be cultivated, that deserves all our toil and care, for it forms the adornment and glory of the fatherland.” - Frederick the Great

“The fact that today’s Germans consider any effort to associate questions of breeding with those of the public good to be the opposite of idealism is in itself an intellectual curiosity, because for centuries what these Germans now condemn was considered by our people to be an expression of custom and morality. It is perhaps even more strange that this is happening in a nation where, for example, a hundred years ago, no apprentice craftsman could rise to the rank of master craftsman unless he could produce proof of his unobjectionable ancestry, nor could he ever reach his master’s rank if he chose a woman of unknown or undesirable origin as his wife. Not only the nobility, but also craftsmen and Germanic peasants deliberately practiced breeding in Germany until the nineteenth century. It is surprising to find the old traditional German marriage laws filled with wisdom about the interdependence of blood and civilization, especially in those cases where Germans consciously

created a blood barrier - for example around the Slavs. All this knowledge seems to have been lost to our people today, and we have carelessly gotten to the point where he who acknowledges the necessity of observing such things runs the risk of antagonism..." - Walther Darre, Blood & Soil

We have all this technology that we could use to help us, but we Americans just don't use our technological advancement to help our species genetically and the main secular objection to this is because, "That sounds like what the Nazis did". We could easily map out, between two potential partners what their child might look like, their physical strengths and weaknesses and we could do that before ever bringing this hypothetical child into the world.

Even if we took the racial aspect out of the equation, why is society so hell-bent on staying in the dark ages when it comes to using *this* technology? Wouldn't you personally want the best for your children? Doesn't being a responsible adult mean putting your own selfish desires aside for the betterment of the child? How committed to your child are you really? Would you be able to control yourself enough to not have a child with someone who's genetics would guarantee that your kid turns out to be an invalid? Most people in this hypothetical scenario would lie to themselves, have the invalid kid anyways, and say, "there was a .00001% chance my baby might come out ok so I took it." but really it wasn't even that. Their decision was just sheer self-interest and laziness.

The masses have had their heads filled with liberal notions like "free love" and a christian over-emphasis on love and monogamy since childhood. The result of these ideas is that the masses pump out mutt-babies right after high school because the reality is that most people are so unlovable that they feel the need to pump out a helpless infant who will *have* to love them (or at least be dependent on them). - It's a sickening state of affairs. And of course, the government rewards this irresponsibility and weakness with greater amounts of welfare and food stamps. Society at large rewards this irresponsibility as well; whole families will join together over the family's new little mistake and throw money left and right at the new little should-have-been-aborted shit. It should be seen as an even bigger mark of shame than living with your mother into adulthood to have a kid without first factoring in genetics. Hell, some of these families will welcome their kids with open arms to leech off them for the rest of their life so long as they have some kids, no matter how much those kids' genetic material pollutes the bloodline. It doesn't matter that their family is now forever linked to the town prostitute's family or that little Jimmy's going to be of mixed-race decent and/or have a litany of life-long health issues to financially burden both families with.

These breeders of today are the most self-absorbed scum you'll ever meet. They'll claim they could never be self-absorbed, because how could they be, they've got a kid that they put before everyone. They'll say that with zero self-awareness, not realizing that they really only value the child so highly because they see the kid as an extension of themselves. If these breeders were as selfless as they claim to be they wouldn't have their own kid, not when there's so many orphans out there. If they were really as altruistic as they claim to be they would be fine with sterilizing the masses and creating test tube babies with the best genetic material possible for the betterment of the humankind. No, that idea would be "crazy" to them. Instead, they want the freedom to be able to get drunk, have regrettable sex, and then drop the "rape"-baby off at the fire station.

The breeders of today breed due to a compulsion. A compulsion stemming from a desperate and pathetic need to "feel loved". The over-emphasis on the feeling of needing to be loved started with

((the Essenes))), then the christians, then the hippies and is now carried on today by the liberals. This over-emphasis on your feelings continues to perpetuate careless breeders who are obsessive-compulsive about having children who will be forced to love their parents' unlovable selves.

In closing, I'll add a few quotes from a book published in 1918 called 'Applied Eugenics';

"These dregs of the Roman populace were termed, 'proletarians,' 'producers of offspring'! In other words, a man might be incapable of civic duties, incapable of bearing arms, incapable of paying taxes, but was considered not only capable but specifically apt for bearing children, who were accepted as his contribution to society. Think what an attitude on racial matters this implies! No wonder Rome fell! And yet - let us not forget that this was substantially the attitude of our grandfathers, and that it is still the attitude of millions of so-called 'educated' persons. Here is once more evident the dead hand of the past, perpetuating old errors and blocking the effective spread of new truths..."

"...many new factors like universal education, high standards, preventative medicine, and birth control, all of which may become powerful agents of race betterment have thus far worked mainly in the direction of racial decay..."

"...Perhaps never before have social conditions been so 'dysgenic,' so destructive of racial values as to-day. In the earlier stages of society, man interfered little with natural selection. But during the last century the increase of the philanthropic spirit and the progress of medicine have done a great deal to interfere with the selective process. In some ways, selection in the human race has almost ceased; in many ways it is actually reversed..."

*

Of Apes and Egyptians

I always laugh a little inside when I see a black person with ancient Egyptian tattoos. The likelihood that they know their ancestry is slim, the likelihood that they're blood related to any tribe that interacted with Egypt is even less.

They could be 80% Angolan and still claim ancient Egypt. When in reality the average Greek has more of an ancestral claim to Egypt than anyone of Angolan descent. The same could be said of about 85% of the rest of Africa. But the average african-american has become so Americanized that they think of race as literally just color. Most people of this liberal century suffer from the same delusion that race is only skin deep. It's crazy how 23 and me exists, but people will still make this unscientific claim about skin. Even the most liberal of doctors have to admit the reality of race being more than just "skin deep".

*

Nazi Perfection VS The "Perfect" God of the Bible

The very notion of Nazi perfection; creating a “worldly” genetic specimen that’s as close to perfection as possible should be seen as Luciferian by any real christian. After all, the goal of Nazi eugenics would be to make man “like Gods”, which is the same notion that the serpent in the garden of Eden tempted Eve with.

The christian death-cult does preach a version of perfection; a version of perfection that can only be attained upon dying. Upon entering the ultimate melting-pot in the sky, heaven, you’ll “become a new being” [devoid of racial identity]. This shouldn’t be surprising coming from the book that says “not to store your treasures on earth, but in heaven. [ie invest in death, not in life]”

It only follows, according to any serious christian theologian that the bible is the perfect word of god and if it were to be “corrupted by fallible men” then that would imply that god himself is not perfect or that god does not have “the whole world in his hands”. So, if the story of Genesis is the “perfect origin story of man” and if the Nazis were all a bunch of choirboys why did the Third Reich feel the need to look for the origins of the Aryan Race? Why did they investigate Atlantis, Theosophical and other non-christian theories that defy the biblical origins story?

Why would the Nazis have had to create their own 10 Commandments of Mate Selection if Jehovah’s 10 commandments were enough? Surely, if god’s word is the most perfect you wouldn’t need to refine it or add anything to it.

Let’s keep in mind how if one line of “god’s word” isn’t perfect then that’s a reflection on the christian god itself as we read the next lines from Jesus in Matthew 5:47, “And if you greet only your own people, what are you doing more than others? Don’t even Pagans do that.”

Not only is Christ fully endorsing multiculturalism here, but this line also further points out that the pre-christian religions were all blood and soil/race specific, before the jews foisted their religion of internationalism on everyone else, while themselves remained a race-specific religious people.

The Nazis wanted to bring man to a pinnacle that he had never seen before. The christian [golem] sees this pinnacle as a blasphemous Tower of Babel that should be destroyed.

*

“If White People are so great, how did the jews take over?”

The largest majority of any Gentile people is made up of a slave class. The slave class/lemming class is an unthinking class that simply carries out its masters will (Hence, what makes them so vulnerable to the slave morality of both christianity and marxism). The slave class never develops free thought, they simply do enough mental gymnastics to moralize the actions of the ruling class.

The use of force of one will imposed over that of another’s has always been the way of the world. This naturally occurring phenomenon, which has been summed up as ‘might is right’ [aka ‘master morality’], is what has created all functioning societies throughout history. These unthinking masses are a necessity that the jews exploited.

Being a natural parasite, the Jew has never created a society. They've fed off of civilizations that Gentiles already created. In this way, the Jews avoided subjugating their own. They didn't consciously do this out of some "superior morality" though, it was merely through sheer parasitism that the Jews unified with one another. They have always targeted and always will target the "proletariat" [ie the slave class] to usher in their self-destructive ideologies, but I'll have more to say on the proletariat at the end of the next section....

TL;DR - As has been said many times before; Jews are a parasite. If the stronger and more capable beast was aware of the parasite attached to it, the beast could easily stomp out the parasite.

*

AWAY FROM ROME

"When Christianity, nourished from JEWISH (and middle eastern) sap, comes to Rome, a new core grows in the empty shell. The Roman Catholic church, with its clergy organized into the fighting church continues the religion of the Roman army, with its claim to world importance, the empire's claim to worldly power, with intellectualized religious means." - The Official NSDAP Booklet on National Socialist Cultural Policy

[Note the same NSDAP booklet further makes it clear that the Nazis were against Christianity as a whole and not just against "political-Catholicism", but I reduced this section of the booklet into a small quote to keep it relative to Rome]

Some people in the White Power community feel the need to express that they don't believe in "Nordicism" and then go on to explain through some liberal non-racist "logic" that all White people are equal, and they think it's fine to be a mutt as long as you're White. It's a simple and Roman way of thinking that the Romans used to boost their numbers. The Nazis only selected men of great stock to join the ranks of the SS, this was an iconoclastic view that broke through the mundanity of the liberal mainstream that proclaims, "all humans equal".

But Hitler himself stated that he's NOT a supremacist. Today though, in the modern White Power movement in America we see a 'Romeophilia' or Italian supremacy. It's so prevalent in America that even pro-Capitalist posers and Federal Agents engage in Romeophilia, so much so that they'll utilize Fascist symbolism.

The Romeophiles will claim that Hitler stole all his ideas from the Italians when that's not true at all. Contrary to popular disinformation, Hitler was a National Socialist - not a Fascist. He had a dislike of the term 'socialist'. Most of Hitler's socialist implementations were done in the name of the 'volksgemeinschaft' or "**racial** community". *Volksgemeinschaft* is a more clear term than *National Socialism*, because it is all encompassing in the sense that it incorporates Nationalism - that which should be racially exclusive - and Socialism in the sense of benefitting the community as a whole, which in a Nationalized society would be the one race of that Nation. National Socialism as a term simply incorporates two terms that were already in the lexicon of the masses (that the Nazis had to win over).

Then there's more disinformation concerning Hitler's invasion of Poland. People wrongly assert that this was Hitler trying to be imperialistic like ancient Rome and that he was beginning an attempt to conquer the world. Anyone who knows history knows how ridiculous that is and that Hitler was baited into invading Poland. The soviet-controlled Poland at the time was killing the ethnically German people living in Poland. This was Stalin's way of breaking his pact with Hitler and starting the war, but of course, the Soviets (who invented the word 'disinformation') wanted to control the narrative of the war from the beginning.

Why does the media almost never say "National Socialism"? Why do they instead use the term "Fascism"? Why do (((they))) want you to believe that Fascism = National Socialism? A few reasons come to mind;

- (((They))) don't see Fascism as much of a threat as National Socialism. If they can muddy the water by equating NS with Fascism, they think they can water-down National Socialism. Jews were allowed in Mussolini's party up until a few years before the war ended. Mussolini even mocked Hitler's antisemitism at first. Curiously, most jews who have infiltrated National Socialist groups generally push Fascism while marketing it with a Swastika.
- The concept of Roman imperialism promotes internationalism. The imperialism of ancient Rome helped the jew to destroy the concept of race and nation being one and the same.
- Most White Americans have some Irish blood in them, because the Irish were forced out of Ireland due to a famine that was imposed on them by English imperialists. So, the jew knows that if he can conflate being pro-White with being pro-imperialist many White/Irish Americans will reject it.
- You can interpret Gentile Gulsepee's ideas in ways that are pro-capitalist. This is why posers and FED groups like Patriot Front have no problem using Roman Fasces as their symbol.
- Fascism is a more nebulous ideology than National Socialism. Fascism is more of an anti-communist reactionary movement than anything. This makes it easy for the media to use the term Fascism like the word "White Supremacy", it can mean whatever the propagandists want it to mean. (((They))) also like to conflate the two terms because Fascism is categorically a right-wing movement, whereas National Socialism stifles the left-right dichotomy of piggy-politics. The Nazis were third positionists, not left or right, but the media wants you to stay trapped in the dichotomy of left vs right.
- (((They))) want you to think of it more like Italian Fascism because they don't want you to focus on the racial-eugenics aspect of National Socialism. Instead, they want you to focus on race like

a conservative, relating to IQ, because in that regard the jews come out on top. Whereas, if you were ever to conduct eugenics that even tried to be non-racist, but simply focused on only passing along the best genetic material, jews would eventually end up being phased out because of a lack of good physical genetic material.

- It also helps feed misunderstanding the night of the long knives. Most people will overlook the tension between those who wanted a form of National Socialism that was more like Marxist Leninism and those that wanted Hitler's race-focused idea of the Volksgemeinschaft as the socialist aspect of National Socialism. - The allied propaganda removes this more complicated element from the equation and replaces Hitler's Volksgemeinschaft with Fascism. Thus, dumbing down history, making it seem more simplistic than it really was. And to a lesser extent, the modern-day propagandist wants you to come to the conclusion that only Trotsky's view of socialism was truly socialism.
- There is a current trend in propaganda which starts with quoting Karl Marx on the female sex ["Social progress can be measured by the social position of the female sex".] and then acting like the Nazis were all boys playing gladiator. It's very simple and very stupid, but because it's so much like the blind masses, it's very effective propaganda. To believe this popular propaganda you have to be ignorant of the fact that the Nazis hid prostitution from the public and let prostitutes work safely away from the public. To believe that the Nazis wanted to return to a "sexist" culture like Rome would also be to ignore the many quotes from high-ranking Nazis like Himmler himself bashing christianity specifically for its mistreatment of women.
- The christian religion plays on/preys on the lemming class instinct to side with the ideology of the conqueror. Rome's instinct was to expand at any cost, the lemming class moralized this expansion and dug their heels in deeper into ideological "expansion of the empire." Supporting Roman imperialism is an outgrowth of this lemming instinct. This is why (((they))) want their "opposition" to be fascist rather than National Socialist. It keeps people locked into piggy-politics and slave-morality. Whereas National Socialism stifles the instincts of the lemming class.
- Like Nietzsche's philosophy, National Socialism is based on a critique of history. Claiming that the Germans stole their ideology from Italy paints National Socialism as if it was a reactionary movement, rather than the deep-rooted philosophy that National Socialism really was and still is.

A brief sidenote: Although the Germans had their own version of Imperialism, while having some similarities to the Italians as well as to the Japanese, was not the same as either. For Germany, Imperialism was a sort of relic from the past that they sought to resurrect, like all dead German traditions, the Third Reich sought to breathe new life into it. This "imperialism" was basically just favor

towards a caste system, but with Walter Darre emphasizing class over caste. He makes this point very clear point in *Blood & Soil*.

Although the Nazis admired ancient Rome's military might and borrowed the salute and a few other militaristic aesthetics from ancient Rome it doesn't mean that they were copying the Italians. Some people even have to stretch and claim that Hitler took the eagle symbol from Rome. Like many countries, Germany had a long history of using an eagle as its symbol. These narrow-minded people forget that the Nazis borrowed from plenty of other White countries. Just look at the SS soldier; his helmet was based on the British helmets and the SS daggers were based on Swiss designs. Does that mean Hitler was "stealing" from them too?

You could easily look at all the influences the Third Reich took from the Scandinavians and craft a narrative that "Hitler wanted to be just like the Scandinavians, he borrowed all his ideas from them" (like allied propagandists did and modern media do to this day when it comes to Rome). This theory is more sound since the Nazis talked about the Nordic Race so much, but *still* the masses believe that Hitler stole from Italy. You could look at the Nazi battle flag and say that it's stylized just like a Scandinavian flag, you could look at the Third Reich's extensive use of runes, their use of symbols such as the black sun and the swastika which have been found decorating ancient depictions of the Norse God Odin, you could look at the "Wiking"/Viking tank division, the border-line [Norse] Pagan rituals outlined in the SS celebration manual, Himmler's poem praising the Norse Pagan religion, the aircraft carrier-load of Nazi propaganda that frequently featured Nazis as modern-day Vikings and take all that and craft the narrative that Hitler wanted to be just like the Scandinavians. This would be especially effective propaganda if you had the media constantly repeating this lie. It is astounding that even other National Socialists (and like-minded people) understand that the allies crafted a bunch of false narratives around Hitler, but have never thought to question the Hitler-Rome narrative. The narrative that the Third Reich wanted to "larp" as Romans serves the (((powers that be))), by helping to muddy the waters and get Whites to look away from the eugenics/racial health aspect of National Socialism. The powers that be would hate it if Whites started considering genetics before breeding. (((They))) see racially pure White races as the greatest threat to themselves.

[Amendment so as not to be misinterpreted: I'm aware that Hitler's scope was limited to the White German people, because he was a Nationalist, first and foremost. But, expanding this ideology to all White people is simply the logical conclusion of National Socialism]

*

Why do (((They))) Always Say, "White Supremacy"?

"The most effective way to destroy people is to deny and obliterate their own understanding of their history." - George Orwell

The most blatantly obvious propaganda tactic that (((they))) use it to claim National Socialism is "White-Supremacy" so the propagandist can do a google search of "ugly White person" and "beautiful jewish woman", put the images side by side and say, "checkmate atheist." What this type of propaganda relies on is that the public completely ignores the fact that a goal of National Socialism

was to create a more pure race and a stronger genetic code through the process of eugenics. National Socialism wasn't asserting that Whites or even Germans were perfect. Quite the opposite really, the Nazis were acknowledging how dysgenic modern man has become through mongrelization and they were seeking means of fixing this unnatural problem.

Hitler himself stated, ***"Pride in one's own race - and that does not imply contempt for other races - is also a normal and healthy sentiment. I have never regarded the Chinese or the Japanese as being inferior to ourselves. They belong to ancient civilizations, and I admit freely that their past history is superior to our own."***

The allies, once they raped and ruined Germany, went on a propaganda campaign to "de-Nazify" Germany, this included helping the soviets stage the holohoax and parading the German people through the staged Halloween horror-show camps. This "de-Nazification" campaign didn't just end there, it was meant to span throughout future generations. In order to succeed, the allies and soviets had to mischaracterize Nazism to the point where it could be construed into anything they wanted it to be. This started with the utilization of the propaganda term "supremacy".

Now when the liberals scream at every craig and crack that there must be White-Supremacy in there, they can construe that term to fit into every craig and crack, because it's hollow, nebulous, and means whatever the propagandist wants it to mean. Take for instance the colonization of America and intermingling with the American Indians. Liberals call that "White supremacy". They can't call that type of race-mixing Nazism (for obvious reasons), but the nebulous propaganda term "White supremacy" fits (in their world view).

((They))) are constantly pushing this narrative that "White supremacy is the root of the world's problems". They'll subtly imply that Whites own the banks, the media, and run the government and have been the scourge of humanity since the very beginning [Oh, the irony].

Another reason (((they))) love the term "White supremacy" is because it helps them push a false opposition; By claiming that christian acts like trying to save the Aztecs was an act of White supremacy and by proxy implying a link to Nazism. In reality, the most Nationalistic thing Cortez and his men could have done would have been to let the Aztecs sacrifice each other to death like the Mayans previously did to themselves. Just think, we in the US wouldn't have a cartel problem in Mexico. Who knows what could have become of that land, had the christians not interfered with nature's course.

Then, there's slavery too. Another jewish and christian sanctioned thing. The talmud is the first religious book to endorse slavery, with the second being the old testament. - Slavery, this jewish affair, has been labeled by the modern-day propagandists as an act of "White supremacy", because to label it an act of "Nazism" would make even your average golem realize how stupid that idea is. Why would the Nazis, a group who wanted a racially-pure country want to bring over members of other races to their country by the literal boat-load? The Christian notion of "The White man's burden" - is brought about by the idea that jesus wanted to save all of mankind and that it's on the White man to help the poor savages, which is the most anti-Nationalistic stance you could have.

These acts of so-called, "White Supremacy" didn't benefit the White race. These acts only served to harm and mongrelize the White race.

Do you see a pattern? How these golem have historically acted against the interests of the White Race as a whole when they took up the cross. When they willingly believed the jewish deception, that's when they acted on behalf of the jew. So today as the "death of god" is obvious, the jew wants to push any non-believer towards "progressivism" and link their religion of internationalism, equality, and weakness with their opposition. This way, "the goy" have their minds completely 'torn out'. This way even if a gentile is onto the jews, the gentile still falls under the thumb of the jew.

Is it any surprise the man who coined the term, "racism", Richard Pratt was a christian who promoted racial integration and the destruction of "classism"?

*

The Conclusion of this SUPREMACIST Myth

If everything goes according to (((their))) plan, the planet will soon only be inhabited by mixed race gentiles and non-mixed jews. Then because the masses of the future will be racially mixed and convinced that National Socialism is simply a "White's only" club they will automatically reject National Socialism.

(Despite the various races that served the Third Reich, think about the common "Celebrate Diversity" meme featuring Nazi divisions - which a David Myatt article was essentially the precursor of.)

Whereas, if the racially mixed people of the future saw National Socialism for what it really is, they would see it as their salvation. They would see and feel the mistakes of their forefathers and realize the importance of eugenics. They would more the most understanding to why it is important to create better bloodlines and ensure that only generations with good genetics are passed onto the future.

*

Where did all the black panthers go?

Your average black feels such a racial comradery with his fellow black that he refers to his own tribe as "brothas". The average black talks in a coded and bastardized version of "the White man's English". The average black feels contempt for the White man due to perceived anti-black oppression. The average black respects his fellow black for going to prison for raping a White woman, in their minds that's a strike against who they incorrectly believe is the ruling class.

The black panthers didn't go anywhere; their ideology just became mainstream across all of black America. They don't wear the berets anymore, but the shit-brown skin is still a requirement.

*

The *other* H&M controversy

Hitler was trying to expel the people from his country who have historically destroyed all of the nations they weren't expelled from. Whereas Mussolini on the other hand, let jews into his party up until the war was nearly over. Mussolini was more concerned with expelling one man from Italy; an opium addict who was into spooky magic and tarot cards.... Imagine if Mussolini read the SS celebrations manual, or realized the poem written by Wulf Sorenson was written by Himmler, had any inclination what they were doing in the Wewelsburg castle, knew about the drugs the Nazis were passing around, or knew about the brothels in Germany. Mussolini might have banned the Nazis from Italy for their "libertine" lifestyles as well. He probably would have even had Goering executed if he got to know him, since Goering was essentially the Hunter S Thompson the Third Reich.

*

555

Hitler decided to make up a party number for himself to make it look like the NSDAP had more members than it really did. He could have chosen any number. He could have chosen a more Christian number like 700, but instead chose a number that closely resembles the "Mark of the Beast". This mark is supposed to represent the "ultimate evil". Since the ultimate evil on earth would be the Devil it would make sense that this mark/666 would be the Devil's number. It would likewise only follow that the Devil's "second in command" or "the anti-christ" would have a lesser number, like 555.

There's undeniable proof of the massive influence that the great Anti-Christ Friedrich Nietzsche had on the Third Reich to the point where you can find essentially the same book about Nietzsche's influence on the Nazis printed everywhere that books are sold. These virtual carbon copies of one another all claim in their own way that the Nazis "hijacked" Nietzsche's philosophy (because that's the (((approved))) narrative). Even the name of Hitler's book "My Struggle" is a nod to Nietzschean philosophy. Nietzsche said that the pursuit of a goal, the *struggle* to attain that goal is what we desire more than the goal itself. Nietzsche saw struggle as part of an evolutionary growth without which we become weaker and die.

Nietzsche's writings make it clear that he saw the Anti-Christ as the embodiment of what it is to be Übermensch. What would the goal of the Anti-Christ be? To get humanity to take the mark of the Beast willingly, essentially to have the "Lucifer effect" on humanity - to lead men to become Übermensch. Sounds like the goal of Nazi eugenics.

Those who would write this off as a "mere coincidence" would be the same people who would think it's a coincidence that a Swastika facing right in a circle is a symbol described in *The Secret Doctrine* as a symbol of Thor's Hammer.

[The myopic might try to argue by pointing out the "Gott Mitt Uns" slogan. 1) Any reference to "God" doesn't automatically mean the jew-created god of the christians. It could even mean a "god within oneself/one's race. 2) This was an old German slogan that the Nazis were resurrecting, much like other ancient German symbols and traditions.]

*

“How Could You Believe that an Entire Race of People Could Conspire to Do Something So Awful?” (The JQ)

First off, I don't think it's their own conscious decision, for most of them. Granted, there's still plenty of religious jews who follow the talmud that out-right preaches to enslave gentiles. I don't think they all need to conspire in the same way that a parasite doesn't need to conspire with its fellow parasite to drain its host, it's just doing what comes natural to the creature.

We see in nature there are regular plant species and imposter plant species that are invasive. We also see in nature creatures like the viceroy butterfly that mimics the monarch butterfly for its own survival. It wouldn't be that crazy to think that jews look White are an invasive mock species among people.

It's hard for most people to accept that fact because of “conscience” and “empathy for our ‘fellow man’” that we've had instilled in us since birth, not to mention the christian idea that jhvh made us the “special” species and that jews specifically are jhvh's “special people”. Once we root out those errors in thought and realize that man is just another beast of the field, we can break free from this childish concept that people are mostly good and understand the differences amongst peoples.

*

Christ is the Archetype of the Prey Animal - the Herd Animal - the ‘Goyim’

Jesus Christ's entire purpose on earth comes from the jewish practice of needing a blood sacrifice as an atonement for jehovah's forgiveness. Christ was meant to be that blood sacrifice. This makes him the perfect archetype for how the jews want every gentile to be.

Christianity is responsible for the modern “do nothing” attitude every coward has. Ideas like “turn the other cheek” and “god will judge them one day for all the wrongs they've done”. These sentiments have evolved into the secular “take it and don't retaliate” concepts like ‘karma’. This ‘do-nothing’ attitude is the perfect attitude for any domesticated cattle to have, since they'll take whatever beating they're given. The human cattle (chattel) will just get mad and think to themselves “My tormentor is so lucky that vengeance is mine sayeth the Lord!”

Christians will hear what I just said and rush to the **one and only** incident in the bible where it seemed like Jesus did anything at all to stand up for himself. The incident where he got mad at his fellow merchants and the money lenders in “his father's house”. He flipped over some tables and in **just one** of the original translations of the bible is it recorded that he twirled a whip, which many falsely claim he hit anyone with [even though the claim is biblically unsubstantiated]. But, as Spengler points out, even stupid prey animals like the bull, horse, and the deer have a moment of blind rage, but after the moment is over, will once again allow themselves to be tamed to the point where even a child can lead them.

Some even assert, “Well Jesus said he came not to bring peace, but a sword”. If read in context, you’ll see that Jesus is talking about a metaphorical sword which he will use to metaphorically divide families if one member loves him and another doesn’t. Now, to those who want to separate the old testament from the new, doesn’t this sound like the same weak, jealous, jewish god of the old testament who’s first three commandments are about loving him?

What does a lamb symbolize? Innocence or naivety? Aren’t they the same thing? Christ is the lamb of the jewish god Jehovah, to be slaughtered on an altar and Christ’s disciples are said to be shepherds of men. Can you read between the lines? All of the sheepish followers of Christ’s teachings are also supposed to be sacrificed on the altar of the jewish god, like good little prey animals or good “goyim”.

In a bible verse connected to one that’s usually wildly taken out of context to support the false narrative that the bible says anything bad whatsoever about molesting or harming children. Jesus says that all of his followers should act like toddlers in Mathew 18:3 - 4 Jesus says,

“unless you become *like* little children you will never enter the kingdom of heaven, Therefore, whoever takes the lowly position of this child is the greatest in the kingdom of heaven.”

Nietzsche was right. Christianity is the reduction to the naive, the retreat back to the mother’s womb, the retreat from life, backwards and forwards. To “store your treasures in heaven” is to live for death rather than truly facing life and living in the now, the Christian lives for the land in the clouds. It’s an ideology that turns having your head in the clouds into something virtuous.

Our modern laws are based on Christ’s teachings, which help domesticate man. One is only legally allowed violence in a case of self-defense. A person can rob your house, but you’re just supposed to tell yourself that “vengeance is mine, sayeth the system” and “you’re not judge, jury, and executioner”. And when the police aren’t required to investigate, because they stole less than \$500 worth of goods you’re supposed to pacify yourself with, “well one day he’ll have to answer for his crimes”. When in reality, the only way he’ll answer for his crimes is if you [REDACTED]. The (((powers that be))) of course, don’t want that kind of thing happening though, because if more men were in tune with their natural beast of prey side more men would be willing to stand up and fight the injustices of the (((powers that be))) and they of course don’t want a rebellion on their hands.

It’s no wonder the cruelest kings have been monarchs that foisted this religion on the masses. Not only did Christ make sure to tell everyone to pay their taxes (Matthew 12:17), but the Christian religion makes the masses docile and domesticated. It will have them forever turning their heads to the clouds under the worst torture thinking that upon death they’ll have a perfect eternity in the sky. Jesus, like the liberal was all about love and never about hate, because **hate breeds rebellion**. Men like Machiavelli warned against being hated, because of how powerful hatred is. Hate moves men to action. Remove what moves men and you’ve got sitting ducks. So, we have today, the further continuation of christianity through liberalism - a crusade against hate in order to make the masses docile.

Christians have to constantly bring up the ONE and ONLY time Jesus didn't look like a whipping boy in the bible to try to make the claim that he was actually a "big badass." It's just as pathetic as a 40 year old man claiming that he's a brawler because he got into a fight *ONE* time in high school and when someone points out to the self-proclaimed brawler that they've acted pacifistic in every other walk of their life since then, the "brawler" then just repeats like a broken record, "but yea, think about that *ONE* time in high school...."

*

Nice Guy Imperialism

Imperialism was far too kind. Had the old-world imperialists just wiped out the tribes they conquered we wouldn't be facing the problems we are today. But of course, the imperialists of old had to try to "save" the savages, as their Jewish fairy-tale book instructed them to do.

Since we're all collectives, and not just individuals, this has led to collective racial resentment. The Whites maimed cultures like the blacks, the Mexicans, and the American Indians, but we [Whites] didn't exterminate them because the "good book" didn't want us to. - The White man's burden was foisted upon him by the Jews who wrote the bible.

Of course, on a collective scale this leads to a resentment for the White Man by all these races that is completely natural and there will be no end to this resentment, because the law of nature is an eye for an eye. This doesn't end until either one race has had their revenge, or one race finishes what they started.

Spengler sees this as a hopeless fate for the White Race. If it really is that hopeless then [REDACTED] through the smoldering ash of this world, after millions upon millions more years, maybe nature will fix her evolutionary mistakes.

Maybe another extinction event is necessary, nature has shown that she improves and refines her designs after such an event. Maybe our goal should be to pave the way for the next apex predator who we should call by the colloquial name of "The Vril". - This goal would be the equivalent of destroying the nine worlds of man [euhemerized deities are still just men] and letting the giants reign. Maybe *the coming race* won't greet parasites with open and loving arms like ours did... Or maybe you should just utilize this mentality to go through with your accelerationist efforts even if you're hesitating because you think you might be going too far.

*

It's in their Nature

For most people it's not hard to accept that some serial killers aren't created by nurture, but rather nature. Some people are just wired differently and this wiring caused them to do terrible things. So why is it so hard to accept that peoples may be wired differently?

*

Why is no one lecturing BLM activists that “Hate is baggage.” and that “Life is too short to be pissed off all the time.”?

Because the lemming class has to be told from childhood repeatedly that X is a hate group. They don't have the ability to absorb new information if it requires dissolving past delusions. It's the same reason the lemming class in the East are all muslim and the lemming class in the West are all christian. It's the same reason we; Satanists are more concerned with acceleration than conversion. ***The masses don't convert; they just get conquered.***

*

Scottish Twins

When someone points out how there is a tax-free church on every street corner to a christian who claims Satanists are running America, the christian will pull the old “no true scotsman’ and claim that those aren't real christians (and also ignore the tax-free part). It's the same thing when you point out to a liberal how the media and corporations are constantly promoting their ideals, the liberals claim that it's just pandering for money. These golem love living in their miasma of delusion, the christian blames the devil for everything they can't explain, and the liberal blames the White man.

*

Christianity; Pro-Equality & Anti-Separatism

Matthew 5:47 *“And if you greet only your own people, what are you doing more than others? Do not even pagans do that?”*

Not only does the above bible verse show that christ was against the concept of racial segregation, but it also points out how the old world was; that the ancient pre-abrahamic religions were all racially exclusive religions.

Matthew 4:7-9 *“When a Samaritan woman came to draw water, Jesus said to her, “Will you give me a drink?” The Samaritan woman said to him, “You are a Jew and I am a Samaritan woman. How can you ask me for a drink?””*

Not only does this point out that jesus was a jew, but it is another example of how jesus was against separation.

Collossians 3:11 states that there's no difference between Greek or jew and that all are equal in Christ.

I could go on, but I'm not going to. Nor should I really have to, I should just be able to cite one verse and that's enough, if this is truly the "word of god". To think that any part of it could be made imperfect by man is to say god is imperfect.

How many people on the planet do you think believe in the falsehood that is 'the equality of man'? Now think about how many people believe in this falsehood **because** of the bible. It's hard to believe that there's Christians who claim to be against the Jewish agenda while promoting a book that's constantly preaching equality and racial homogeneity. That is of course, if we're only talking about the Gentile Testament/New Testament. The Jewish Torah/Old Testament is a book of Jewish supremacy. The whole book is meant to give kikes one set of rules and non-Jews another set of rules. It's no wonder historical figures like Julian "The Apostate" who would refer to Christianity as a sect of Judaism.

*

Jews Run the Rehab Facility, but Push Christianity as if it's Necessary for Recovery

If Christianity was such a threat to the Jews, who control everything by way of the dollar, why does almost every rehab facility in America preach Christianity as part of the recovery program? Why does AA also preach Christianity as part of the recovery program? It just doesn't make any sense that the exploiters of mankind wouldn't seek to take advantage of the most vulnerable people. That's because (((they))) are taking advantage of addicts, by pushing their golem-creating religion - Christianity on them. Also look at the jails and prisons and how they cater exclusively to (((Abrahamic))) religions.

*

Blind, Deaf, and Anti-Racist

The lemmings have to make things up to feel better about themselves. They have to blind themselves to the real world where only about 5% [being *real* generous with that number here] of White people are racist and the vast majority of non-Whites have contempt for White people. They need to tell themselves that there's racist White people everywhere so that they can pat themselves on the back for "not being one of the **many RACISTS** everywhere". They really feel like they accomplished something by "overcoming" an ideology which they've convinced themselves is all around them, completely blind to all the progress flags in every window shop as they drive down the street this Juneteenth. - Just one example of the fact that the lemming class will never be converted, they can only be conquered.

*

The Protocols

I personally look at the protocols of the elders of zion the same way I look at George Orwell's 1984; while a work of fiction, it still shines a light on what's happening around us. Only people who have been sold on the individualist mythos would think that (((they))) need a book to outline their plans. Their talmud vaguely alludes to their goal of a world full of "goy" slaves, but there's no planning in it. Like the bee, (((they))) don't need to read blueprints in order to do what is in their nature.

*

Caligula Politics

If we really did live in a country that was as free as the seemingly mentally disabled believe we live in, then any government official could play Caligula-politics. They could easily disprove the liberal idea that most men are sexist pigs by attempting to pass legislation that would no longer separate men and women in jails and prisons in the name of equality. In turn the masses, both men and women, *but still mainly men*, would be rioting in the streets over the mere proposal of this idea. Even Europe would be burning down cars in the streets.

*

Italian Supremacy

Anytime some Italian supremacist starts spouting a (((media approved))) message from the Sopranos about how Italians created everything, remind them that all of the racial IQ tests, taken in a more honest time, shows Italians averaging double-digit IQ's. Often times the results show that Italian IQ's are only one or two points ahead of the blacks. (For more info on that see A.H. Arlitt, Bryn Mawr College, S. M. Terman, The Intelligence of School Children).

I'm aware that this is an unfair thing to say since Rome was the first melting-pot society, but you could use this point to win an argument. But in all fairness, you could both argue that the low IQ's are the result of a melting pot society and the mogrelization of a once pure race. You could also argue that there's a likelihood that many accomplishments are wrongly assigned to the Italian race, when those men could have racially consisted more of other types of European blood who simply resided in Italy and took on Italian surnames in order to assimilate. Both are valid points and bring up a problem with melting-pot societies as a whole.

*

Fight Club - It's only good if you misinterpret it. Otherwise, if you interpret it correctly it's message is essentially that only weak and mentally unhinged psychopaths break the rules in modern feminized/liberalized society. Makes you think about why there are as many copies of this book in jails and prisons as there are copies of the book that says to "render unto Caesar".

When you think about lines like, “You are not special. You are not a beautiful and unique snowflake... We’re all part of the same compost heap” being delivered by Brad Pitt as men dig their graves for Project Mayhem, you’re likely not thinking about the fact that the man who wrote those lines is a homosexual who wanted Brad Pitt’s character to spout off juvenile pseudo-iconoclastic critiques of the world. Palahniuk admits this in his later, seen-by-virtually-no-one writings (that was before this coward went on JRE and claimed that fight club is like a song that can be interpreted however).

*

“You can’t make mistakes out here when you black! Yo White privileged ass wouldn’t know nothing about dat dough!” - They say as all the government safety-nets cater to blacks and specifically blacks who breed. Blacks are more catered to in modern liberalized society than people who work day-shift jobs.

Blacks can ruin their credit and move to the ghetto and live normal lives surrounded by their “brothers” (ie. racially aware and racially allied people), whereas if a White person does the same thing, he will likely be the target of burglary, assault, and/or robbery at gunpoint. The only way he might not be a target is if he’s already cucked himself to black culture (ie. he’s a wigger).

Likewise, a black person and a White person can go to prison for the same crime and the black person can just do normal prison time, whereas the White person will be severely targeted. Blacks will try to exploit the White person every second of every day.

Every H.R. department in America has “diversity quotas” which only benefit non-Whites and harm White people, yet these blacks still have the nerve to complain and say that “racist ass cracka-companies juss won’t hire a brotha”. These blacks live in a world of pure imagination (or pure propaganda).

*

“You have the White House, but we run the streets!”

What other things do naive blacks tell themselves? Blacks want it both ways; they want to claim to be the wildest king kongz of the jungle but also want everyone to believe that the blacks behind bars are all innocent victims of a White Supremacist system.

And how stupid do you have to be to believe in a White Supremacist system and think that the government couldn’t control the streets if they wanted to? Section 8 could be forced to “diversify” ie house just as many Whites as they house non-Whites or even base it on population size, thus making all Section 8 housing looking like “Whites-only zones”.

If the government was White Supremacist, why wouldn’t they train White gangs and have their own military trained men go in as front-line soldiers to take over the black and hispanic gangs and take over territory for the White Man? The government could rig any confrontation in favor of the Whites by

installing snipers and strategically placing explosives beforehand among other things. They could do all this in secret and act like it was just gang violence as usual.

To believe that you could possibly “run the streets” without the government simply allowing you to is the height of naivety. You’d think, for people who were brought over here in chains they would have learned that this isn’t a free country. You have to realize how much power the government really has at some point and how they launder their deeds through social engineering [/mass propaganda]. It would take a special kind of stupid to think America toppled two regimes that heavily emphasized propaganda and didn’t learn anything from them.

*

White Chomos

When someone mentions how black people essentially act sub-human the typical low iq retort to that is something to this effect: “Well what about the fact that most child molesters are White?”

The term “family molester” is one that’s almost exclusively used in non-White households. Since the media has trained non-whites to believe that there’s an all White/White supremacist run police force, non-whites are less likely to go to the police if their kids get molested. Being a more tribal people [being more natural due to being more animalistic], the non-whites stick to their own and often shield the “family molester”.

It’s also a fact that blacks commit more rape than any other race. And we’re supposed to believe that blacks only rape adults? You would have to be either really naive or a die-hard member of the mainstream anti-White cult to convince yourself of that.

You also have to think that most mexicans and blacks are related to, or hang out with someone with a criminal history, if they don’t have a criminal history themselves. Because of this, it’s more likely that the mexican and the black child molesters know how to talk to cops. Whereas the White chomo, likely doesn’t even know anyone with a criminal history and would be more likely to fall for a cops’ trap and end up indicting himself.

*

Both the liberal and the average christian want to believe, according to their humanitarian ideologies that all human beings are equal, and that race-mixing doesn’t have any negative consequences. They could look at their own 23 and me results and still believe that genetics work like a vacuum. It’s cognitive dissonance at its finest. They’ll see both higher and lower percentages of certain races and still not think that the lower percentage could ever drop to zero, because? What? They want to believe in a future where everyone is a mutt and that it’s coming fast, so they need the idea that genetics works like a vacuum for their wrong ideas to appear true.

*

The bible doesn't condemn pedophilia

One of the most wildly misinterpreted verses of the bible comes from Matthew 18:

"At that time the disciples came to Jesus and asked, 'Who, then, is the greatest in the kingdom of heaven?'

He called a little child to him and placed the child among them. And he said: "Truly I tell you, unless you change and become like little children, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven. Therefore, whoever takes the lowly position of this child is the greatest in the kingdom of heaven. And whoever welcomes one such child in my name welcomes me

"If anyone causes one of these little ones—those who believe in me—to stumble, it would be better for them to have a large millstone hung around their neck and to be drowned in the depths of the sea. Woe to the world because of the things that cause people to stumble! Such things must come, but woe to the person through whom they come!"

For anyone with braincells and reading comprehension this means that Jesus was saying that if you try to "awaken" someone with child-like faith, that you deserve a millstone hung around your neck. This verse is saying that if you "harm" these metaphorical children (by leading them away from jewhovah) then you deserve a millstone around your neck. Christ isn't talking about actual children.

Not to levy a typical reverse uno here, but any parent with this mentality is more susceptible to letting their child be molested. They. in their child-like faith would be more likely to leave their child in a dangerous situation thinking with their "child-like faith" that nothing will happen.

*

George Orwell's vision of a telescreen that's monitoring you isn't too far off from the reality we currently live in. The FBI and internet "watchdog" groups monitor your activity for the purpose of stamping out wrong-think (ie. whatever they deem "hate-speech"). Targeted ads work to steer you in a certain direction. People who work for tech companies have even admitted that if your history shows a lot of right-wing activity that they'll try to expose you to left-wing suggestions.

*

Why *didn't* the Nazis kill the jews?

You had guys like Martin Sommer who tortured priests to death in the camps [likely taking Nietzsche's *Law of the Anti-Christ* to heart]. Word got out and the Nazis were forced to give Sommer a slap on the wrists. At the time of his "sentence" to the front lines Sommer was already fighting anyways. (As a side note, the injuries he sustained on the front lines allowed Sommer to escape life in prison and spend the better part of a lifetime with his wife and kids).

Anyways, the point is, you had Nazis in the camps who actually were cruel to the prisoners, so why *didn't* the Nazis just kill the jews? For the same reason that the Nazis had to hide the Lebensborn program and the brothels from the general public. The masses are too deeply entrenched in the christian pathology to see Lebensborn and prostitution properly. They would completely ignore the fact that the Third Reich was trying to better The Race as a whole. Likewise, the general public is under the delusion that all lives are precious (stemming from christianity, cultural marxism, and/or liberalism depending on the individual) To say otherwise is far too iconoclastic of a thought for the general public to really understand. It's a thought that borders on being illegal and to the lemmings, illegal equals immoral.

What does this presumption that all lives are precious rest on? What evidence is there that every life is precious? How many times have you walked into a store surrounded by people who are seemingly completely unaware of their surroundings? These people have gone to the store how many times and still haven't figured out a way of shopping efficiently? If we put these people into a meat grinder what would be lost? A Wernher von Braun? A Mussorgsky? A Nikola Tesla? I don't think so. But the public thinks that would just be "horrific". Hell, the public thinks even so much as sterilizing these wastes of genetic materials is "horrific". – It won't be until mankind has overcome the miasma of slave morality that permeates our current age, that anything of the sort would be acceptable.

*

Censorship in the "Land of the Free"

I'm not talking about cancel culture, this isn't an episode of JRE. The type of censorship I'm saying shouldn't be allowed to exist is the type of censorship that courts can impose. Take a murder case for example, a judge can make sure that the public will never be allowed to see the image of a murdered person, because of the judge's sentimentality. The judge can state he "doesn't want the murder victim to be remembered like that" (even though you can read about the case online and if the murder victim wasn't murdered most people would have never heard of them anyways). Keeping people in the dark about the depths of depravity, about what man is capable of doing to his fellow man ironically victimizes the public. If the public can't see the problem, how can they defend themselves against it?

What I'm saying is, if the courts released the full details of every murder and rape case the public might start seeing trends. The public might start to realize why their White ancestors called the non-Whites "savages". And not just realize this, like some Whites have because of the Chicago shooting rates, but deeply understand this, feel it. The savagery of these attacks would likely enrage most White people. You'd likely see White on black crimes skyrocket.

*

Cops - The Frontline Enforcers of the J.O.G.

The media's general portrayal of cops is something like a bunch of klansmen driving around swinging nooses around in the air like lassos as they chase down innocent dindus while listening to

Skrewdriver. Ironically, the media also portrays life in prison as a place where the Whites are going to get raped by blacks.

So tell me, if these cops were really so racist, why would they want to uphold a system that results in Whites being more severely punished behind bars? [I'm aware that some of you White men reading this may have been to prison and think this only happens to weak Whites with no street smarts or that the prison you went to wasn't like that, but the fact is that it still happens to White men, so it needs to be addressed.]

Next time you almost feel bad for a cop who got shot and killed in the "line of duty" just think about how many Whites they've sentenced to a gang-rape. By signing up to be a cop they sign up to **ENFORCE** this anti-White system. By enforcing this system they're just as guilty of rape as the one(s) holding the White victim down.

"But that's the prisoner's doing, not the cops". - 1. If you knew this kind of thing happened within our "justice" system and still signed up to be a cop, then you're endorsing it. 2. This is a completely socially engineered game. The (((people in power))) set it up like this. It's sort of like laundering money; the goal is to obscure any direct link between crime committed and money gained from the crime. It's just a passing of the buck, just these dirty pigs "washing their hands of this".

Cops enforce a system that allows blacks to feel more free to go out and commit crimes. In conjunction with the 24/7 anti-White propaganda machine, (((the system))) is working to play their black pawns against the Whites, knowing that White people don't have the same freedom from *more severe* consequences the way that black people do.

What does any cop really gain from enforcing this system anyways? He'll blind himself to the fact that he's nothing but a cog in the machine, to damn his own people for the potential "glory" of "one heroic big bust". The typical cop's motivation is nothing more than a vacuous sense of vanity. The only way a cop can ever redeem himself is to undertake the suicide mission of destroying the system from within.

*

The "n-word taboo"

When you think about terms like, "White Trash" you might wonder why we don't have a term like that for black people in the United States of Equality. Oh, right, we do have a word like that for black people. If you say the word openly in modern society, you're treated like you openly committed blasphemy against the holy spirit in medieval times. Which is really telling about the way (((the powers that be))) want us to be socially conditioned. Sure, you might not get the spike chair or the pear, but word will spread far and wide about your blasphemy. Socially branded a heretic, you'll face a social exile and persecution by enemies you didn't even know you had.

To even play into this taboo is to buy into the marxian ideology that it comes from; You have to put the so-called 'oppressed' black race on a pedestal and raise them to a status above the perceived bourgeois class (who the lemmings think of as White people, not jews). You have to believe in the

liberal doctrine that the greatest horror ever perpetuated in all of history was done to the blacks at the hands of the White Devil race.

The general public lets blacks say any number of anti-White things, often times cheering the blacks along. Meanwhile, if a White person so much as gives a black some constructive criticism it's seen as "hostile and racist" to these same stooges.

I've known mexicans who would say "nigger" but criticize me when I used the word. Why? What's the problem? I already know the answer, it's based in marxian logic. The "n-word" taboo is just one of many examples of how deeply entrenched in marxian dogma the Western world became after the second World War.

*

In *Against the Grain*, Revido Oliver perfectly explains the Talmud's "anti-christian" verses;

"It is true that there are pejorative references to Jesus of Nazareth, who was certainly one of the christs* who contributed to the composite figure in the "New Testament." No one seems to notice that the *Talmuds* speak as pejoratively of the last of the important christs in antiquity, of whose Jewish orthodoxy there can be no question. Assuming the name Bar-Kokhba, he caught thousands of Greeks and Romans off guard and butchered them, and he carried on a guerrilla war of terrorism for almost three years until the Roman legions gave proof that Yahweh had again forgotten to send celestial re-enforcements to help His people exterminate the *goyim*. Nevertheless, the Talmudists denounce him bitterly, even changing his assumed name from Bar-Kokhba ("the son of the star") to Bar-Koziba ("the son of the liar"). The Jews hate him and asperse his memory *because he failed*.

[*He states earlier in the book, "One thing is certain. Christianity was originated by the Jews and based on oral traditions about one or, more probably, several of the Jewish agitators and miracle-mongers who bore the extremely common Jewish name of Jesus and called themselves christs. The word 'christ' comes from a Greek word that means 'oil, grease, but which was used in the Jews' uncouth dialect of Greek to mean 'a messiah,' that is, a man appointed by the Jews' tribal god to lead his Chosen barbarians to a definitive victory over the civilized peoples, whom they implacably hated. One of the cleverest tricks of the Fathers of the Church in promoting their cult was to give to non-Jews the impression that 'christ' was the name of a person, and even to this day many Christians ignorantly believe that their god was a man who was baptized "Jesus Christ". Nietzsche saw that successful promotion of Christianity depended on a pretense of reciprocal hostility between Christians and Jews. It depended on making the Jewish cult, when peddled to the *goyim*, seem non-Jewish and even anti-Jewish. "Was it not," he asked, "a necessary feature of a truly brilliant politics of vengeance, a far-sighted, subterranean, slowly and carefully planned vengeance, that Israel had to deny its true instrument publicly and nail him to a cross like a mortal enemy, so that 'the whole world' (meaning all the enemies of the Jews) might naively swallow the bait!"]

*

Citation Needed

"You've said all these things but haven't properly cited any references, therefore what you have to say can instantly be discredited" - the lemming

How many times does a falsehood need to be reprinted for an idiot to believe it? I could have put numbers in brackets over a bunch of the things I've stated and directed people to books written in a language they'll never understand and because of my vast array of non-verifiable "sources" these fools would believe it. It's like they live in the land of 1950's where everyone tells the truth on their resumes. How naive can you be? If you really want to "fact check" anything I've said you can use google.

*

Part 2

Lucifer's Court

Satanism - The Basic Rundown

1. Do Satanists worship the Devil? No. Satanists don't worship anything. Satan and Demons represent dark aspects of our mind hidden by our conscious mind.
2. We're not primarily concerned with self-indulgence. We believe in raising ourselves above ourselves and building not for today, but for all time.
3. We understand, as history has shown, that the world is ruled by cycles. We believe in accelerating this naturally occurring change of the ages/aeons.
4. Rituals are a way of tapping into the dark recesses of our own minds, to blaspheme against the approved doctrines of our age, and to exercise/exorcise the human all too human will to self-delusion.
5. The purpose of this particular sect of The O9A is to provide a more fleshed out and fully actualized ideology for a handful of elites. Leviathan Division is a sect of the Natural Order (or "National Socialism" by its political name) first and foremost, hence the "Division" in the name.
6. Who came first, LaVey or Myatt? Who cares? Both came out with their versions of Satanism around the same time. If you want something safe and toothless go cling to LaVey's libertarian version of Ragnar Redbeard's philosophy.

7. This seems like a lot of effort for someone to go to who “doesn’t believe”. I do believe, I believe in the Natural Order. I’m picking up where the Third Reich left off; the Nazis intended to eventually phase out christianity and replace it with a National Socialist religion. As Joseph Goebbels stated, “National Socialism is a religion. All we lack is a religious genius capable of uprooting outmoded religious practices and putting new ones in their place. We lack traditions and ritual. One day soon National Socialism will be the religion of all Germans.”
8. Loki & Ragnarok - Don’t get confused, this isn’t about Paganism. I’m simply using the Pagan format to articulate certain aspects about Satanism. Think of it like how the Templars “worshipped” a Satanic-looking figure that they also gave a name similar to Muhammad to show their hatred for the christian religion.
9. This philosophy is not an atavist revolt. This is a continuation of the Nietzschean adaptation of what is and what was.

*

Loki

The ‘trickster’ God. The very concept that trickery equals cowardice comes from a Platonic worldview (being the precursor to the christian worldview). Before the Romans adopted this worldview there existed Gods of trickery like Odysseus, who were regarded as brave and their trickery was seen as a sign of strength.

Modern psychology has been so shaped by platonic thinking that lying is part of what the DSM calls “anti-social personality disorder”. And what is ‘anti-social’ personality disorder, but a personality that won’t convert to the faith of the socially-engineered masses? The only way we can reach the philosophy of the future is to shake off the yolk of christian/platonic restraints. Loki, as an archetype, best represents this defiance of what has been foisted upon us. He is a God, he’s not the opposite of Odin, he’s not a Devil. The myth of Loki transcends the black and white duality of good and evil that modern society has grown accustomed to.

To this point, the average golem has been trained to parrot something from it’s masters like, *“But your version of evil is basically the jews - you’re just scapegoating them!”*

Acknowledging that some people are hopeless, a waste of space, natural born or even literal parasites defies the christian and liberal idea that all people can either choose to become good or evil. It goes against the christian notion that all people can be “redeemed”. I’m acknowledging the real world for what it is, instead of the fantasy land that christians and liberals live in where all life-forms were “created equal.”

*

“Well what about Niflhiem? The Norse equivalent of Hell? Wouldn’t that suggest something like a christian afterlife?”

No. It's likely that Snorri, being a christian, interpreted the Norse mythos in a christianized way and wrote his misunderstanding as canon. It's more likely that the nine worlds were meant to be looked at as nine states of being while one is alive rather than some "world beyond". Think about Niflhiem, if you're living a life that's not heroic/cowardly, you're likely to be on the receiving end of "everyday sadism" feeling almost "hunted", essentially living in torment. Likewise, if you are living heroically, you'll be in a state of Valhalla.

*

Eye For An Eye

It's more than just a phrase that Hammurabi included in his code. "Eye for an eye" is part of The Natural Order. This is why the jews took an 'eye for an eye' and taught it amongst themselves and told the non-jews to "turn the other cheek" and still to this day, the jews tell the secular-christians (liberals) "an eye for an eye makes the whole world blind". The reality though, is that without "an eye for an eye" there would be no justice system, there would be no need to sentence someone to a punishment equivalent to the crime they committed. But it's even more than just a code or rules, it's the way that human beings have always operated. This is why when wrongs are done to a tribe that tribe never forgives but collectively want blood. This is why, when jews have caused things like slavery, while masquerading as White Europeans, it causes a collective need in the blacks for bloodshed against the White man. This is why the jews attack the natural way of "an eye for an eye"; the more they can keep the non-jews unaware of the real world, the more they can manipulate the real world.

*

LaVeyan Satanism

LaVeyan Satanism is a double-edged sword in the sense that it can take the person who is disillusioned with christianity and introduce them to the writings of Ragnar Redbeard and eventually to National Socialism or it can take the burgeoning National Socialist and throw them off course by offering them a safe hedonistic route to take instead.

*

Accelerationist Politics

Every accelerationist has two sets of politics; A set of principles for this aeon and a set of principles that can only be carried out in the next aeon. For example, at this time we delight in the brush fire of the earth (both literal and metaphorical), but in the next aeon protecting nature will be a priority. ect. ect.

*

The Anarchist/Anarcho-Primitivist Front

As a covert person who has to utilize masks and covers with a different variety of people depending on the situation you're always going to have some commonalities with your real self and your "cover-self". This is where things get hard, even undercover agents have trouble not believing they really are their "cover-self" from time to time. This is one reason that rituals are helpful, they will keep you grounded in who you are and why you're doing what you're doing among other things.

Since National Socialism was a "Green Movement" and was all about returning to the Natural Order, there are of course parallels between National Socialism and Anarcho-Primitivism, especially among accelerationists. You could even argue that the *volksgemeinschaft* was an economic theory that essentially posits going back to the days of tribalism (to an extent). The good thing about that is that you can make it easy to sound sincere when talking about your beliefs/"beliefs" with the golem.

All of your "off-grid" works will go seen as merely you "trying to return to nature". No one is going to see you with your camping gear and realize that you're doing an initiation rite mentioned in *The Black Book of Satan*. Whether you're out planting or hunting, if you're seen out and about in nature, you'll be more likely looked at as if you're just collecting quartz or some other form of shiny rock. No one's going to think you're out collecting rust for purposes of forbidden alchemy. People will think you're just harvesting kudzu to make starch, not transplanting this invasive species to wreak havoc on agriculture. To really help pull off this cover though, you need to actually get into relatively harmless things like canning, making mead, or forging wild foods.

Using Anarcho-primitivism as a front you can even extol ideals of acceleration in the name of "letting nature reclaim what's hers" or environmentalism. You could even pepper in some quotes from *The Black Order* about moving away from a 'homocentric society' into your primitivist rants. You can be anti-cop and anti-government, you can be anti-civilization as a whole, you just can't claim you're against the hooked nose tribe who controls all three.

We, as Satanists, build for all time. For now, we are what we have to be and when the time comes our racial descendants can thrive in the New Aeon that we built for them. Understanding National Socialism and Satanism is beyond the masses; their minds are too muddled with judeo-filth. Being the base animals they are though, what the masses do understand is, unrest, anguish, rage; anarchy.

*

The Green and Black State (The Age of Fire)

While Anarcho-Primitivism as a *political movement* serves as a front of sorts it will be the necessary *state* of things in future generations if our work as accelerationists is successful. Does that mean that we should all leave the comfort of our apartments and embrace life in the caves? No. For the time being the enemy is still heavily armed with the technology to keep the masses sedated with mental fog and propaganda. To turn our backs on technology at this current stage would be to give the

enemy the upper hand on us. Only until the enemy has been disarmed of this type of technology should we actually start making strides towards truly living off grid.

*

Hiding in plain sight

In studying (((their))) propaganda it's clear how they want anyone in the White Pride movement to act. And it's clear to see how they hope things go for our people (exhibit A: American History X). Being open, brash and the walking talking skinhead stereotype in this day and age is akin to showing off your neat magic card tricks during the inquisition. You already have a huge target on your back by being White in today's golemized age, you don't need to bring more attention to yourself. Learning how to navigate the murky waters of this age is how a Leviathan survives.

It's much easier to claim to be the typical impartial pseudo-awakened lemming than to actually say anything true or false about your own beliefs.

*

Abandon Hope

How sick are you of pointing out the obvious? How many times are you going to point out the double standards the average good-goy has for black and Whites? How many times are you going to point out how blatantly pro-jewish and pro-equality the bible is? Why do you do it? Because you've got some hope that the people you're talking to will "awaken". If the people you were trying to convince could be swayed by reason, they wouldn't believe things that pop culture simply tells them is right.

Delusional hope on any scale will eventually drag you into a pit of despair. Just facing the reality of your situation is the only way out. We don't have the numbers to be hopeful right now. Our actions shouldn't rely on converting anyone. Our actions should be to demoralize the enemy, embolden our soldiers, and act as the SS Werewolf of our time.

The masses have to be conquered. The only way we'll gain ground is to abandon the hope of getting help, the hope of manna dropping from the sky, or the hope that the masses of White men and women being converted to N.S. in our age. Our hope lies in fire. Plunging this world into the black and green state, the Aeon of Fire, this is the only way men forward - men and women will be forced back to their naturally tribalistic states and will be forced to stick with their own kind as a means of survival.

*

Camouflaged Intentions

Sometimes you might have to mask your motives so that others don't realize you're a heretic. I made several moves against a man under the guise of avenging an associate. In reality, I didn't give a fuck about their qualms. I wanted to move against this person because they betrayed National Socialism. The petty problems between the two gave me the necessary cover to act against this turncoat.

Most people have the LaVeyan mindset of ego above all. Maintaining reputation as chief of all their social duties. It will make it easier to blend in with the golem if you play into this and at least partially hold it true. But there are times when it's more advantageous to let your ego suffer, because you're playing a bigger game. Sometimes it's best to slither on the ground so you go undetected by your prey. It's much easier for the average person to believe that you're weak than it is to believe that you're faking a weakness as part of a Machiavellian scheme.

*

THE ENEMY IS ALWAYS LISTENING

Your neighbors are likely golem. You could make the quietest whisper, and they'll put their ear to the vents in order to decipher what you said, especially if what you said sounds heretical. If you have a habit of talking to yourself, you need to knock it off, you already know your own thoughts. Schopenhauer also warns against talking to yourself for other reasons.

Think about it though, if these golem catch on to the fact that there's a heretic in their midst then they're going to tell all the other neighbors. You'll even likely be the topic of conversation at the post-service chit-chat on Sunday and then word of the heretic who lives on _____ lane spreads like wildfire. And through the church you're branded as the grand enemy of the community.

To think that the American government had a hand in ending the East/West Germany conflict and learned nothing is the height of naivety. The government has learned how to "turn out" the populace and make them into "spies".

*

Creating Subversive Content

Anything that shakes things up is acceleration. For example, you could upload footage from Christopher Ibikunle Adler's death that shows him on the ground dying with the cops standing around him making monkey noises just like they were alleged to (the actual footage has no sound). Not only does this fuel the hate between the races it shows Whites killing blacks. We need more of this content. There's too many cuckservatives who like to showcase black violence against Whites and say, "see look at them, not obeying the law, so uncivilized". As if obeying the law harder would stop the violence against Whites. These conservatives are essentially promoting what jews want for White people; to be good little slaves to a system that hates them.

[Note: The sites you'll be posting on will likely have comment sections. Don't waste your time arguing in the comment section like a fucking loser. Not only does an accelerationist have better use of their time but there's three general types in the comment section, 1. the keyboard warrior 2. the fed 3. the jidf agent. The first is just some pathetic person who believes that intellectual discourse can change the world, even though this notion is contrary to all of history. The last two want to get you to keep talking so they can take note of everything you say in an attempt to build a case against you.]

*

The "Y" in P.R.A.Y.

"What's the big deal with yuppies? They're just unawakened uppity Whites right?" No. They're much worse than just Whites with stick up their asses. The yuppie is one representation of Nietzsche's 'last man'. The yuppie has always taken the comfortable path, they've always followed the rules and have built themselves a comfortable life like this. They believe that all men should be as obedient to the system as they are and they'll impose this way of thinking onto their offspring, thus poisoning the minds of future generations. They'll also impose their 'last man' mentality on outsiders as well. If they see someone stealing from a store they'll call the cops, if they see their neighbors have violated a city ordinance by letting their lawn grown an inch too long, they'll go to the H.O.A., if they overhear someone tell a really edgy joke that involves murder, they'll call the F.B.I. tip line. Do you see what I'm getting at? These people act like members of the Stasi. These yuppies confuse their unremarkableness with strength and impose their own unremarkableness on the world around them. They unknowingly help (((the system))) turn their fellow man into comfortable little neutered domesticated dogs, just like them.

*

23 & Me & (((The Letter Gangs)))

I've mentioned 23 & me previously just as a catch-all phrase for a DNA testing website, because it's the most well-known website that exists. But I wouldn't suggest any known National Socialist use these kinds of websites and expect not to get skewed results back.

The Feds often brag about not "playing by the rules" when it comes to whoever they deem to be "terrorists". If you are or ever have been a known National Socialist (in any small or large capacity - word gets around, especially about *heretics*) then the feds have pegged you as a "domestic terrorist" (or a potential domestic terrorist) and thus, they are going to use their much bragged about "unlimited resources" against you. In plain English, the feds or someone in their pocket will give you back fake results claiming you're black or jewish. The feds will justify this action by claiming that they're "stopping potential domestic terrorism by destroying the racial motivations behind the potential terror".

I think the only way you could get real results from a DNA testing website would be to [REDACTED]. Another thing to note is that by taking one of these DNA tests and attaching that DNA to your name, you would be giving (((the authorities))) access to your DNA.

*

The Templar Baphomet

Baphomet was originally a figure with the head of a goat worshipped by the heretical Templar knights. Julius Evola may have been onto something by pointing out that the Templars were likely rebelling because the christian church put the priest caste over the warrior caste. Just like christianity's jewish twin communism, the goal of placing the weak over the strong has always been a goal. We, as modern-day heretics seek to restore the natural order which is directly antithetical to the core of christianity [and communism].

Some people have tried to make a big stink about the fact that the name is similar to that of Muhamed, when it's likely this was a reactionary move, deliberately giving this deity a name of the enemy of christianity, it likely had nothing to do with actually praising any abrahamic prophet and more to do with blaspheming against the christian god. It's likely that the Templars could actually read, and when they found out what they had fought for, seen their brothers, sons, and fathers die for, they were enraged.

*

Our Baphomet

Unlike the popular Baphomet created by Eliphas Levi, which symbolically is nothing more than an edgy yin-yang, our Baphomet is *not* a symbol that perpetuates judeo-christian duality.

Our Baphomet represents the dark destructive power of the unbridled female id, the kali yuga, the Age of Fire - the necessary state before we can restore the Natural Order. Our representation of Baphomet should be that of a topless woman with weaponry and goat-like horns.

To address the popular Sigil of Baphomet that LaVey made popular (Stanislas de Guaita *created* it). I don't see a problem with using it, as long as you're actually using the version that spells "Leviathan" in hebrew. Having a word that means "enemies of Israel" around a Baphomet seems perfectly fitting for a National Socialist to have in their possession. It's mocking the jews and using their own language to do so, one could even say it's "blasphemy" against judaism. It also shows that we're not afraid to use (((their))) symbols as if they have any magical power.

*

The 9 Angles

In Leviathan Division, the 9 angles represent the 9 worlds/9 states of being - our chaotic pressure put upon the 9 worlds cause them to explode and create something new - a new conscious, new worlds/new states of being.

*

The Satanic Seven-fold path

In Leviathan Division, the 7-fold path is a philosophy for awakening The Beast inside every Satanist. This striving towards the Uruz spirit as if it would be attainable to become the embodiment of Nietzsche's Übermensch is the Satanic Magnum Opus. The 7-fold path is represented by 7 Demons that emphasize 7 virtues of The Beast.

*

1) Marchosias - Resilience/Action

2) Pazuzu - Shameless

3) Leviathan - Acceleration/Domination

4) Astaroth - Sadism

5) Belial - Strength through Joy

6) Baphomet - Adaptability

7) Sitri - Focus

*

Marchosias - Described in the Goetia as a "she-wolf". A Marquis of Hell that embodies the spirit of our movement. We, as Satanists represent the last line of the SS Werewolf. The wolf of course, also represents the undomesticated animal.

Resilience/Action - Like the great ocean predators, the shark, you should feel like stagnation equals death. Constantly on the move, preferring only a short rest. Much of our current situation is due to the fact that people are worn out from the workday and seek out ways to be lazy in every non-working moment. Some of it's just mental laziness; it's easier to go along with the herd and pile into the churches with the rest and act like "god" won, and now you have an excuse to do nothing at all, because the Romans put in all the hard work for you. Some people take this mental laziness to a pathological degree; they might agree with the Nazis on a litany of things, but still manage to convince themselves that somehow, it's better that the Nazis lost the war. - Laziness is the mark of the domesticated dog, the wolf is always vigilant, never mentally lazy.

Hesitation breeds inaction. The Beast doesn't hesitate, The Beast might plan and prepare, but never uses this as an excuse to not take action.

Unlike LaVeyan Satanists we do not “indulge” in sloth. Laziness is a sign of weakness. LaVey once wrote something to the effect of “the real man eats the icing off the cake and disregards the rest”. This disgusting overly indulgent and stupid mentality could only appeal to Nietzsche’s last man. You can see this mentality written on LaVey’s face in his interviews; he smiles like a pre-mature ejaculate.

Discipline and engaging in acts of hardness makes a man.

Pazuzu - He transcends boundaries between the mortal world and the underworld. He’s not confined to the rules or limitations of either world. No amount of guilt-tripping or attempts to shame him will change this forever defiant, possessing spirit of Diogenes.

Shame is a way of making you feel bad for not acting like the rest of the masses. Look at how the average person unconsciously acts. For example, if you have a neighbor who knows you’ve been drinking, they’ll unconsciously work themselves up and get agitated by your every move. You could be the quietest little drunk in the world and you’ll find this neighbor complaining to your roommates about you making noise, when in reality your roommate was the one making noise. The unconscious reason your neighbor does this is to shame you for drinking alcohol.

Shame, when imposed on you by others is a tool of domestication. Shame isn’t completely useless though; it can be a helpful tool when you shame yourself though. For example, if you stared at a pretty girl for a second too long, you should shame yourself for that because that indicates a lack of self-control.

Shamelessness breeds comfort. Discomfort is a form of control. (((They))) want any Nazi to feel as uncomfortable as possible to make them ripe for becoming a turncoat. Being comfortable in your heretical skin no matter what environment you’re in is a strength that (((they))) fear.

Leviathan - A representation of the enemies of Israel. The apocalyptic Dragon mentioned in revelations that raises the “Whore of Babylon”. Also has parallels with the Norse Jörmungandr. Leviathan represents a triumph of your own will over the forces of the world. Working to gain more control of every sphere of your life every day.

Astaroth - Queen of the torturers. She’s said to bind enemies through self-doubt and misguided philosophies. She represents the spirit of sadism.

Sadism - Not in the sense that you should go around slicing up kittens, as if you got your conception of “Satanism” from reading Chick tracts, but in the sense of what’s been termed, “everyday sadism”. Our primitive animalistic instincts have been dulled by christianity and liberalism; most civilized people call these instincts bad or even a psychological disorder. “Everyday sadism” is merely part of The Natural Order, it’s nature’s way of trying to cull the weak. If you find yourself on the receiving end of it, you might be able to learn something from it....that is, after gleefully destroying your teacher.

And then there's the obvious, like causing and reveling in the downfall of any enemy.

Belial - There are many demon names that are simply a bastardization of the Pagan deity "Baal" a deity represented by the calf. Since the jews refer to non-jews as "goy" or "cattle" this was their way of calling the gentile gods "goy-gods". Belial is the variation that the jews use the most as a term to represent a gentile god who is opposed to them.

If you follow any diet that was inspired by Weston A Price, you understand the nutritional value of a cow and all that it can offer. What would jews do when sacrificing a cow? They would burn the whole thing, wasting it as a burnt offering to jhvh. Whereas the White Pagan traditions show that they sacrificed the cow as a gift to and from the Gods and ate and utilized all that they could from the animal.

According to various sources Belial is the God of blasphemous ceremonies. In this way, combined with being represented by the calf and being the ultimate "goy-god", Belial represents bounty and joy or "strength through joy".

Strength through Joy - The enemy wants to throw the awakened White man into despair. The enemy hates it when an awakened White man isn't walking on eggshells. The golem hate when an awakened White man is comfortable with himself and his immediate surroundings, whatever they may be. If you [a N.S.] walk around like you're doing a Henry Rollins impression 24/7 you, probably look like a fucking dork for one thing, and you just further reinforce the hollywood stereotype of a Nazi in the minds of the golem. Whereas, if you show that you can be jovial on occasion, you stand in direct contradiction to the hollywood stereotype. Just genuinely enjoying life *can* do more on the propaganda front than some youtube video you worked on for a month.

Baphomet - Baphomet represents the dark id of our Race. Baphomet represents the modus operandi of the accelerationist. This follows the tradition of the heretical templars who worshipped a figure of Baphomet that was an antagonistic representation of their own values and repudiation of the values they hated.

Baphomet is described in the *Grimoire of Baphomet* as being depicted as a mature human woman naked from the waist up, who holds a young man's severed head in her hand. The Mistress of Earth. The Mother of Blood. A vampiric Goddess and a shapeshifter.

Sitri - This Prince of Hell is said to be able to change his head into that of a leopard upon command. He is also said to rule over passions and hostile emotions. He represents focus and self-control.

Focus -

"Even if life as a whole is meaningless, perhaps that's nothing to worry about. Perhaps we can recognize it and just go on as before. The trick is to keep your eyes on what's in front of you, and

allow justifications to come to an end inside your life, and inside the lives of others to whom you are connected.” - Nietzsche

“If you cut up a large diamond into little bits, it will entirely lose the value it had as a whole; and an army divided up into small bodies of soldiers, loses all its strength. So a great intellect sinks to the level of an ordinary one, as soon as it is interrupted and disturbed, its attention distracted and drawn off from the matter in hand.” - Arthur Schopenhauer

It seems like such a simple thing, but if you truly plunge yourself into the moment as if there's no past or future, only the present fleeting millisecond you'll find yourself in an almost zen-like state (for lack of a better popular term). To be able to maintain this state, to not let fear or worry creep in, but only focus is what the fearful would see as “insane”. This state is the tangible form of what Blavatsky calls “be-ness”.

*

Racial Religion

Before the world was thrown into multiculturalism mainly due to the Jewish created religion of Christianity, all religions were racially exclusive blood and soil religions. As has been previously stated, the ancient Pagan Gods were men and women that our ancestors held in such high regard that they euhemerized them. In honoring your own race's specific people, you can replace similar or corresponding names from antiquity and replace them with the names of Demons.

This is not an atavist revolt, the ancient gods of many non-Jewish cultures were all turned into Demons by the Jews and Jew-worshippers (It's not hard to see; Baal - Belial, Apollo - Apollyon, Lilitu - Lilith ect. ect.). Since we are honoring the past and moving forward into the future, we have to acknowledge both. This is why I propose changing whatever demon names you choose to use for ritual. You can make the name sound different (Demonic). Create a sigil or seal for this deity and make sure to include some of the iconic markings of a sigils such as iron crosses and Devil tails. You can make this process even easier by using the sigil of the Demon you're replacing as the sort of “rough base” for the sigil or seal that you design.

To give an example, let's say I'm predominantly Irish and want to try this. I would start by changing the werewolf demon Marchosias, to a name that sounds like an ancient Celtic werewolf God. You could change a name like “Laignech Fáelad” to “Lagnefa”. Concerning this particular legend since there's an entire legend of the “werewolves of the Ossory”. So, you could even give this specific demon a name derived from some variant of “Ossory” or “Osraige” (if you go with this variant of the name, you could include a stylized version of the “Oss” rune in the sigil of this deity as well).

Demons represent the dark aspect of our minds, the hidden part. By making our demons race-specific we are tapping into something in the collective unconscious of our specific peoples. (At least in theory, but even if we're not doing anything that large scale, we're still creating a more deeply racially engrained religion for ourselves.) With traditions like the Druidic ones that were thoroughly

destroyed, desecrated, and judaified, this is a way of honoring your ancestors while not pining for a world that once was, but instead pressing on, moving forwards towards the eternal BlackSun.

Note: If you don't have the time to put into this you can still deck out your altar in race-specific decorum, say race-specific phrases during ceremonial ritual, and imbibe in race-specific sacrament.

*

The 5th element - Primal Instinct

Aristotle promoted a fifth element that I completely reject. Quintessence is to have your head in the clouds, the hinterwelt. The real and only hidden strength is primal instinct.

Primal instinct is a remedy for the christian/neurotic prey animal pathology. The christian tells themselves that god is guiding them. We, Satanists, trust our primal instincts and acknowledge it as the "godly essence" within ourselves. - That's what guides us.

"Our brains are not the wisest part of us. In the great moments of life, when a man decides upon an important step, his action is directed not so much by any clear knowledge of the right thing to do, as by an inner impulse - you may almost call it an instinct proceeding from the deepest foundations of his being" - Arthur Schopenhauer

*

The Law of the Anti-Christ

By Friedrich Nietzsche (written in the year of a new aeon)

- 1. Every type of anti-nature is a vice. The priest is the most vicious type of person: he teaches anti-nature. Priests are not to be reasoned with, they are to be locked up.**
- 2. Any participation in church services is an attack on public morality. One should be harsher with liberal protestants than with orthodox ones. The criminality of being christian increases with your proximity to science. The criminal of criminals is consequently the philosopher.**
- 3. The execrable location where christianity brooded over its basilik eggs should be raised to the ground and, being the depraved spot on earth, it should be the horror of all posterity. Poisonous snakes should be bred on top of it.**
- 4. The preacher of chastity is a public incitement of anti-nature. Contempt for sexuality, making it unclean with the concept of 'uncleaness', these are the real sins against the holy spirit of life.**
- 5. Eating at the same table as a priest ostracizes: you are excommunicated from honest society. The priest is our Chandala, - he should be ostracized, driven into every type of desert.**
- 6. The 'holy' history should be called by the name it deserves, the accursed history; the words 'god', 'saviour', 'redeemer', 'saint' should be used as terms of abuse, to signify**

criminals.

7. The rest follows from this.

*

The Leviathan Gesture

The Leviathan Gesture is when you trace a Wolfsangel Rune down the front of your body and end the gesture by making a fist in the middle. The Wolfsangel Rune is named such as it resembles a wolf trap, the fist in the middle of the rune represents destroying the 'angel's' trap and setting the Fenrir Spirit free. This Eiwaz rune with a diagonal line through the middle also represents the Leviathan. The Eiwaz rune is also said to represent the cycle of death and rebirth.

*

On Satanic Divination

From casting runes, to tarot cards any form of divination can act as a mediator between the conscious mind and the "dark/hidden" unconscious. By using the pre-text of "telling your fortune" you can use divination to gain insights into your own psyche. Just start thinking about why you would interpret your "fortune" the way you did.

*

The Satanic Sacrament

It's always been part of the sinister tradition to use a chalice during a black mass. Wine is generally used for the purpose of mocking catholic mass. Personally, I don't like to use alcohol because of how it has historically been used against Whites as a whole; Saint Patrick likely didn't drive the serpents out of Ireland by force, he got the Druids to poison themselves and pollute their sacred bloodline with alcoholism, which lead to their destruction. It just seems awfully convenient that whiskey wasn't really a mass-produced thing in the region until Saint Patrick and his merry band of destroyers of White culture [jew-worshippers] showed up.

For this reason, I use poppy tea instead. It's a potentially lethal concoction that has its roots in Europe (despite what popular notions might lead you to believe). Our Pagan ancestors have always identified poppies with prosperity and happiness (for obvious reasons), but also with the Underworld. In Poland they've been making "makowiec" (poppy seed cake) since antiquity, usually served around Winter Solstice and Spring Equinox.

*

About Satanic Rituals

Although much of the reason for doing ritual is blasphemy a greater part of ritual is to exercise or better yet exorcise the all too human will to self-delusion. Engaging in self-delusion in a controlled environment is done to prevent oneself from engaging in self-delusion in the real world. By sticking some pins in a poppet with someone's name on it with the slight self-delusion that you're actually harming a person is a healthy act of engaging in self-delusion in a controlled environment. To any one with eyes, it's apparent that humans, as much as they claim to be always looking for truth seem to want to comfort themselves with self-delusion just as much.

In the age where god is dead, Satanic ritual replaces the magic of the predeceasing idols.

*

Hide your altar

In O9A tradition (as with many of the black magic traditions of the past), rituals are meant to be done outside, but with an increasing security presence and cameras everywhere, this is more difficult in modern times. When doing rituals in your home it's beneficial to not have an altar set up 24/7. There are a few reasons for this. 1. If it's set up all the time, it loses its psychological value, as time goes on it becomes as sacred as a water bottle on your desk. 2. We are the heretics of the modern age. To expose ourselves to the average unthinking idiot is to open ourselves up to ridicule and harassment. Not just harassment from the person who sees it, but from the telephone game of people who hear about the "Satanic Nazi" who lives at _____ who works at _____ and can be frequently found at _____. 3. Life can be unpredictable, what if the paramedics or police have to enter your house? Are you naive enough to believe that they'll treat you the same as everyone else if they see your Satanic/Nazi altar?

*

The Enochian Keys

For those who have grown accustomed to the LaVeyan ritual format, maybe you feel like a ritual isn't complete until you mutter some gibberish in a different language; Why not just take something like a poem from Kurt Eggers, Himmler, or whoever you like and put their words through google translate?

The enochian keys are based in jewish mysticism and should only be muttered as a form of mockery. [The same way the L.D. Satanic Invocation uses mockery in its opening and its closing]

*

Satanic Invocation

In Nomine De Nostri Satanas, Luciferi Excelsi

In the Name of Satan, God of The Natural Order, I invite the forces of Darkness to bestow their Infernal powers upon me! Mark me with the silver enneagram so that I may cross the abyss! Open wide the gates of the light bringer's Sanctum Santorum!

Deliver me, O Mighty Lucifer, from all that proceeds from weakness! Lead me to green pastures, where I may find lambs to feed on. May this wild hunt put me in a state of Ipsissimus! Sixty-three hexes upon christianity's birthplace! Let the sons and daughters of Leviathan dance in the blood of Israel! Let me indulge in the ecstasy of the nine chalices of Abraxas! Teach me the alchemy of blood to aether that I might become like Vril!

Might, Wisdom, and Honor be to thee Satan, forever!

Hail Satan!

*

Satanic Prayer

Light incense, place it in a designated Satanic incense holder, make the Leviathan gesture. Hold your hands out in front of you like praying hands, but don't touch them together. In the space between your hands visualize a glowing Othala rune and chant "Othala" 9x's and visualize and "feel" whatever you outcome you prayed over getting stronger with each chant.

*

Standard Satanic Ritual Format

Open ritual by picking up the dagger and making a Laguz rune at the 4 cardinal points going counter-clockwise.

Recite the formal invocation of Satan.

Hold the dagger with both hands over the chalice so the dagger is pointing into the chalice. Visualize your own blood dripping down the dagger into the chalice forming the Sigil of Satan. Take a drink and "feel" that you invoked Satan.

Make the Leviathan gesture and recite the names of the Demons as you invoke them/trace over each of the 7 points

1) Marchosias

2) Pazuzu

3) Leviathan

4) Astaroth

5) Belial

6) Apollyon

7) Sitri

[Commence whatever type of ritual "magic" or blasphemy you want here]

Close the ritual by making a Laguz rune at the 4 points going clock-wise. "Hail Satan. Heil Hitler" *Give salute.*

*

The Satanic Satyr Square

*This is taken from a Templar ritual which involved the use of a "Sator square". Ours is a Satanic version called a "Satyr Square". Traditionally the Sator square was drawn on a skull and used to make ritual. Likewise, it would be ideal to draw this square on the skull of an animal, preferably one you killed yourself. If this is unavailable you can get them for cheap online or draw it on paper and draw the grid pattern around it in grave-dirt, use a bone frame around the Satyr square, ect. ect. anything that sticks with the black magic tradition of utilizing something directly related to death.

The differences between the christian sator square and the Satanic Satyr Square:

The christian sator square goes as follows:

SATOR

AREPO

TENET

OPERA

ROTAS

[Note backwards 'S' in 'Sator', backwards 'N' in "Tenet", backwards 'S' in Rotas]

The words fit on a 5X5 grid, palindrome words, and the word 'Tenet' forms an equilateral cross. It's all very book-wormish and obviously jewish in its origin. I'm not just saying that as an insult, but it, like all things christian, has been documented as having its origins in jewish mysticism.

SATOR means "to sow"

AREPO is just some gibberish to complete the square because they wanted to include OPERA, but spelt backwards. This has scholars contorting themselves like Emily Rose to stretch for an answer so they can feed their pre-conceived notion that it must mean something brilliant, but they really don't have any concrete evidence for their theories on the word.

TENET - To preserve, master, sustain

OPERA - Service, pains, care

ROTAS - Wheels

Now I'll explain **the Satanic Satyr Square**

SATYR

OMEAH

TERET

HAEMO

RYTAS

[Backwards 'S' in 'Satyr,' backwards 'R' in 'TERET', backwards 'S' in 'Rytas']

SATYR - The Satyr is the representation of man in his natural state (free from domestication and the influence of the parasites). The satyr represents the material world, a symbolic rejection of the christian/Platonic ideas of the the hinterwelt (a more perfect world beyond "the material plane").

OMEAH - A theophoric name representing the Godly-essence in Our People

TERET - in Latin is to "terrorize" or "scare away", in English it is the name for the rings on top of a saddle that the reins pass through.

Although the original word is spelled "Terret" with two 'R's' it's within occult tradition to eliminate repeating letters. But, you could also spell it with both 'R's' back to back (one backwards, one forwards) as one character. This would be showing more disrespect for this form of jewish/christian mysticism, because it would go against the rules, while still technically playing by them.

HAEMO - means 'Blood' - that which must be shed, for that which is most sacred

RYTAS - means both to flow and to rise - essentially, to move like the Leviathan

Open the ritual as you normally would, state your personalized mission statement. Swear the oath by reciting all of the words of the Satyr Square 9x's.

To be used before a big undertaking, swearing ritualistically that there's no turning back.

You can also use the Satyr Square the same way you would conduct Satanic prayer.

Ritual of the Satanic Knight

- This ritual is to be done during a thunderstorm.
- A sword should be used instead of a dagger
- A Satyr Square is needed but should be made differently; the two “S’s” should be foreword and in the shape of Sowilio runes. The “R’s” should be backwards in the shape of Reihd runes, also use the double R/double Reihd version for the word “Terret”.
- A lancet
- Your talisman (A piece of paper with a unicursal hexagram on one side and on the other a Sigil you’ve created bearing the name that you’ve created for this particular Satanic Knight, the name can be taken from initiate names listed in Codex Saerus or made up by yourself.)

Open the ritual as you would a Standard Satanic Ritual.

Recite the Satyr Square 9x’s with the intent of “raising Satanic energy”.

Now push your hands together like you’re combining the raised energy in the room together and envision this energy as a circle between your hands. Visualize this circle surrounding your talisman. Now prick your finger with the lancet and place a drop of blood in the middle of the talisman.

Now visualize the blood drop turning into a ball of energy, all the energy around the talisman forming a sun symbol, now the energy growing into a horizontal line across the circle, now growing into a Swastika within the circle, now completely transforming into a pentagram and completely transforming again into the glyph of Saturn, now imagine the talisman being struck violently by lightning and transforming into a glowing BlackSun, now trace over the talisman in the shape of a Hagalaz rune 9x’s [The Hagal rune that looks like an asterisk] as you chant the name of your Satanic Knight 9x’s each time imagine the energy of the BlackSun growing.

Now burn the talisman in candle flame and envision the violent energy of the room creating your Satanic Knight kneeling before you. Knight him with your sword and state,

“[Name of Satanic Knight], you are the new man, and you are here to do the Devil’s work! Go forth and target the P.R.A.Y.! Create works of art through your destruction!”

Now imagine your immortal knight engulfed in flame [like the talisman] before he vanishes to carry out your will. As the knight is being engulfed repeat, “Pakrutakramah nasti paro dharma” [There is no religion/law higher than the Natural Order]

Close the ritual.

Not systems fit and wise – not faith with rigid eyes – not wealth with golden pile – not power with gracious smile – not even the potent pen.

Wanted men.

Not words of winning note – not thoughts from life remote – not fond religious airs – not sweetly languid prayers – not softly scented creeds.

Wanted deeds.

They can dare and do – not longing for the new – not prating of the old – good life and actions bold – these the occasion needs.

Men and deeds.

-WANTED?, Ragnar Redbeard

AZANIGIN